

## **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



81.9  
-13

# OREGON

## ANNUAL REPORT



U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE  
NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY  
RECEIVED

OCT 29 1971  
PROCUREMENT SECTION  
CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

1967



Agricultural  
Stabilization  
and  
Conservation  
Service



## Foreword

This publication is a statistical report of some of the accomplishments in 1967 under the various programs administered by the ASC State and County Committees. These programs are listed in the table of contents.

The programs are administered in each county by a county committee comprised of three elected farmers and the county agricultural extension agent. There is an ASCS office in each county in the State with an office manager and staff in charge of the day-to-day operations. The county committee meets periodically as it deems necessary and establishes policies and guidelines for the office manager, makes determinations as required by law and regulations, hears appeals of farmers and exercises administrative supervision.

ASC committees and offices have many responsibilities and perform many functions that cannot be measured statistically. Therefore, it is hoped that this report will stimulate further inquiry for those looking through it who are not familiar with these programs and the way they are administered.

### Oregon ASC State Committee

Roland E. Schedeen - Chairman

W. Truscott Irby - Member

Loyd M. Key - Member

Martin H. Buchanan - Member

Gene M. Lear - Member (Extension Service)  
Represented by Joe R. Cox

Arnold N. Bodtker, State Executive Director



1967 Annual Statistical Report

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Administration	1
Elections	2
 All Farmland Data	 3
 ACP	 
Regular	5
Pooling Agreements	44
Watersheds, RC&D	45
 Cropland Adjustment Program	 47
 Cropland Conversion Program	 49
 Conservation Reserve	 51
 Commodity Loans and Purchase Agreements	 53
 Farm Storage Facility Loans	 57
 Feed Grain	 59
 Wheat	 63
 Sugar	 67
 Wool	 69
 Emergency Livestock Feed Program	 73
 Compliance	 75



## Administration

### State

ASCS administration in the state is under the direction of a State Committee composed of three farmers appointed by the Secretary of Agriculture and the Director of the Agricultural Extension Service who is a member ex-officio.

For administrative purposes the state is divided in five areas each under the supervision of a farmer fieldman.

The state office is managed by a state executive director who is responsible to the State Committee. In Oregon there are 22 persons on the state office staff, including the state executive director, program specialists, farmer-fieldmen, administrative staff and clerks.

### County

ASCS administration in each of Oregon's 36 counties is directed by a county committee composed of three elected farmers and the agricultural extension agent who is a member ex-officio.

Each county is divided into communities and for each community there is a committee of three farmers elected by the farmers of the community. The community committeemen elect the county committee. In addition community committeemen council with the county committee regarding program acceptance and operation. These committees meet two or three times during the year to acquaint themselves with program changes and developments. There are 633 ASCS community committeemen in the state's 211 communities.

The county committee is responsible for the overall administration of the ASCS programs in the county. It meets once or twice a month to discuss and outline policy, to render decisions in the carrying out of policy, to hear appeals, etc. Each office is under the day-to-day direction of an office manager, selected by the county committee. The office manager hires the clerical assistance necessary to carry out his responsibilities.

As of December 31, 1967, there were 34 office managers for the 36 counties in the state. Total office staff including the office manager was 124 persons. The cost of administration of county offices for the calendar year 1967 was \$1,052,322.

## Committee Elections

### Community Committeemen

The elections for community committeemen for 1968 were held September 18, 1967. In years past the elections were held in late November but a new national policy set all community elections the third week in September. 10,828 farmers voted in 211 communities in Oregon to elect 633 regular committeemen and 422 alternates. Of these 298 are serving for the first time.

### County Committeemen

Under a system instituted in 1967 all regular community committeemen meet in a county convention to elect the county committeemen. There are three county committeemen in each county who serve for terms of three years with one term expiring each year. The county convention, therefore, elects one committeemen each year. It also determines each year who will be Chairman, Vice Chairman and regular Member. Two alternate county committeemen are also elected; they serve when there is a vacancy in the regular committee. A county Extension Agent is a member of each county committee by virtue of his office.

In 1968 eight of the 36 county committeemen elected are serving for the first time.

All Farmland Data  
(1964 Census)

County	Farms	Farmland Acres	Cropland Acres	Noncrop and Rangeland Acres	Other Farmland Acres
Baker	736	890,142	157,872	718,321	13,949
Benton	858	207,633	91,266	81,376	34,991
Clackamas	4,116	261,812	143,059	76,147	42,606
Clatsop	486	39,501	14,775	15,046	9,680
Columbia	1,149	94,988	35,052	35,474	24,462
Coos	1,058	248,716	40,227	147,065	61,424
Crook	325	989,597	85,809	894,690	9,098
Curry	263	109,878	16,521	80,637	12,720
Deschutes	775	253,152	54,963	181,439	16,750
Douglas	1,922	528,304	114,761	357,847	55,696
Gilliam	186	863,341	276,149	573,359	13,833
Grant	282	1,234,512	88,202	1,107,467	38,843
Harney	279	1,416,707	231,267	1,172,963	12,477
Hood River	641	31,966	19,374	4,429	8,163
Jackson	1,556	643,386	81,762	524,605	37,019
Jefferson	422	496,488	106,542	380,506	9,440
Josephine	823	75,948	26,059	18,623	31,266
Klamath	1,072	1,091,929	275,545	782,384	34,000
Lake	343	914,967	187,858	716,340	10,769
Lane	2,893	416,195	138,967	204,366	72,862
Lincoln	504	68,378	12,352	34,927	21,099
Linn	2,434	467,279	261,825	166,041	39,413
Malheur	1,737	1,580,928	255,438	1,292,950	32,540
Marion	3,388	333,624	233,454	58,891	41,279
Morrow	338	1,092,146	387,989	675,472	28,685
Multnomah	918	66,728	33,045	22,806	10,877
Polk	1,235	215,054	137,899	46,376	30,779
Sherman	221	519,619	288,886	218,717	12,016
Tillamook	716	66,234	22,258	25,265	18,711
Umatilla	1,502	1,534,941	669,958	800,082	64,901
Union	802	526,348	165,772	337,122	23,454
Wallowa	525	721,367	113,067	595,617	12,683
Wasco	599	1,369,707	212,508	1,113,981	43,218
Washington	2,468	200,343	127,106	31,762	41,475
Wheeler	129	682,474	34,496	637,512	10,466
Yamhill	2,056	254,970	139,852	78,265	36,853
<b>Totals</b>	<b>39,757</b>	<b>20,509,302</b>	<b>5,281,935</b>	<b>14,208,870</b>	<b>1,018,497</b>



## 1967 Agricultural Conservation Program

The Agricultural Conservation Program is an important part of a co-ordinated effort to help farmers attain soil and water conservation objectives. The total effort includes research, education, technical assistance, cost-sharing and such indirect aids as credit.

Since the beginning in 1936, ACP has operated as a farmer-Government partnership by providing a share of the cost of approved soil and water conserving practices. ACP cost-sharing is made available for conservation over and above what farmers would carry out with their own resources and on their own initiative. Participation in the program is voluntary and all farmers are eligible.

The ACP is developed by the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation County and State Committees in co-operation with representatives of the Soil Conservation Service, Forest Service, Extension Service, Soil and Water Conservation District Supervisors, and other agricultural agencies.

Federal cost-sharing payments made to Oregon farmers in connection with conservation practices carried out under the regular ACP during the years 1936 to 1967 inclusive, total about \$80,000,000. Since substantially more than half the costs are borne by the farmer, it is estimated that during this period over \$160 million were expended in Oregon by the farmers and the Government on regular ACP practices. This represents a significant contribution to the conservation and improvement of Oregon's soil and water resources.

In addition to the regular program, emergency cost-sharing assistance is provided to farmers for restoring to productive use farmland damaged by natural disasters, such as flood, windstorm and drought. Funds for this purpose are provided to the Secretary of Agriculture by the Congress, separate and in addition to the regular annual program appropriations. In Oregon, emergency cost-sharing assistance was first made available to farmers in 1956. During the period 1956-67 inclusive, these payments have amounted to about \$10,500,000 in Oregon. They represent over half of the cost of eligible measures; in many individual cases as high as 80 percent.

Agricultural Conservation Program

State Summary

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<b>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</b>					
A-1	Permanent cover in orchards or vineyards	20 Ac.	1	74	-
A-2	Permanent cover	30,391 Ac.	1,550	414,241	16.59
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	17,499 Ac.	160	29,661	1.19
A-6	Field stripcropping	1,124 Ac.	4	2,732	.11
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	1,774 Ac.	159	48,198	1.93
A-8	Trees or shrubs to prevent erosion	1 Ac.	1	67	-
<b>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</b>					
B-1	Improvement of cover for soil or watershed protection	29 Ac.	2	241	.01
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	32,991 Ac.	129	74,264	2.97
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	99,481 Ac.	411	211,141	8.46
B-5	Wells for livestock water	17 No.	17	9,589	.38
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	136 No.	94	19,728	.79
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	297 No.	262	135,148	5.41
B-8	Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc., for livestock water	3,090 Ac.	15	4,725	.19
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	34,786 Ac.	57	22,513	.90
B-10	Timber stand improvement	3,200 Ac.	215	63,833	2.56
B-11	Stock trails through natural barriers	7,100 Ac.	11	2,939	.12
B-12	Weed control	16,209 Ac.	500	65,322	2.62
<b>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</b>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	111 Ac.	32	4,618	.18
C-2	Permanent cover on dams and other problem areas	48 Ac.	9	256	.01
C-3	Contour planting orchards	8 Ac.	1	40	-
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	17,808 Ac.	120	51,508	2.07
C-6	Erosion control dams	34 No.	14	7,181	.29
C-7	Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	85 No.	37	15,255	.61
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	15,649 Ac.	510	178,867	7.16
C-9	Permanent open drainage	5,810 Ac.	343	57,809	2.32
C-10	Underground drainage	10,003 Ac.	666	308,504	12.35
C-11	Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	1,182 Ac.	30	12,509	.50

Agricultural Conservation Program

State Summary

	Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost-Shares	% of Total
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	75,474 Ac.	868	350,583	14.04
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	6,111 Ac.	260	118,633	4.75
C-15	Irrigation ditch lining	4,874 Ac.	186	132,685	5.31
C-16	Spreader ditches or dikes	255 Ac.	4	1,359	.05
C-17	Subsoiling	18,194 Ac.	142	40,269	1.61
D -	<u>Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>				
D-1	Winter cover	15,567 Ac.	407	29,709	1.19
D-3	Green manure crops	380 Ac.	5	251	.01
E -	<u>Temporary Protection of Soil from Wind and Water Erosion</u>				
E-1	Stubble mulching	20,023 Ac.	67	19,976	.80
E-2	Contour farming	652 Ac.	1	326	.01
E-4	Applying mulch	27 Ac.	3	425	.02
F -	<u>Special County Conservation Needs</u>				
F-2-d	Sprinkler mainlines - cranberry bogs		12	5,378	.22
F-2-k	Drainage wells		1	505	.02
F-2-n	Restoring dam and canal - special project		18	24,000	.96
F-2-b	Deep plowing		9	2,857	.11
G -	<u>Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>				
G-1	Wildlife food plots or habitat	327 Ac.	16	3,695	.15
G-2	Shallow water areas for wildlife	8 No.	8	1,259	.05
G-3	Wildlife ponds	40 No.	36	21,945	.88
H -	<u>Beautification-Conservation Practices</u>		10	2,495	.10
	State total			2,497,313	

A-2 Lime - 33,683 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Baker County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	1,234 Ac.	34	8,425	10.90
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Impravement of cover on rangeland	1,342 Ac.	12	6,688	8.65
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	2,840 Ac.	19	8,986	11.62
B-5 Wells for livestock water	1 No.	1	255	.33
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	3 No.	2	260	.34
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	8 No.	8	4,273	5.52
B-8 Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc. for livestock water	760 Ac.	2	788	1.02
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	3,774 Ac.	6	2,650	3.43
B-10 Timber stand improvement	36 Ac.	5	1,082	1.40
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	210 Ac.	4	3,427	4.43
C-9 Permanent open drainage	311 Ac.	13	5,262	6.80
C-10 Underground drainage	178 Ac.	10	2,700	3.50
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	16,088 Ac.	64	16,487	21.32
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	594 Ac.	29	15,158	19.60
C-17 Subsoiling	60 Ac.	2	257	.33
<u>H - Beautification-Conservation Practices</u>				
County total		1	630	.81
			77,328	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Benton County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	557 Ac.	35	11,019	25.95
A-3 Additional acreages of cover	354 Ac.	21	7,157	16.86
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	385 Ac.	20	7,478	17.61
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	6 No.	6	3,697	8.71
B-10 Timber stand improvement	121 Ac.	11	1,977	4.66
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	25 Ac.	4	1,581	3.70
C-9 Permanent open drainage	85 Ac.	11	1,928	4.54
C-10 Underground drainage	136 Ac.	16	7,418	17.47
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>				
D-1 Winter cover	168 Ac.	4	214	.50
County total			42,469	

A-2 Lime - 1,344 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Clackamas County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	1,978 Ac.	167	48,754	42.12
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	309 Ac.	24	8,041	6.95
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	12 No.	17	10,359	8.95
B-10	Timber stand improvement	179 Ac.	17	5,143	4.44
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	27 Ac.	2	675	.58
C-9	Permanent open drainage	51 Ac.	4	695	.60
C-10	Underground drainage	585 Ac.	71	36,548	31.57
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-1	Winter cover	783 Ac.	14	1,625	1.41
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>					
G-3	Wildlife ponds	9 No.	6	3,915	3.38
County total					115,755
A-2 Lime - 4,320 tons					

Agricultural Conservation Program

Clatsop County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	168 Ac.	15	6,442	39.57
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	144 Ac.	17	1,259	7.73
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	1 No.	1	165	1.01
B-12 Weed control	801 Ac.	14	1,284	7.89
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	1,546 Ac.	14	4,983	30.61
C-9 Permanent open drainage	54 Ac.	8	1,131	6.95
C-10 Underground drainage	3 Ac.	1	102	.63
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	21 Ac.	1	914	5.61
County total			16,280	

A-2 Lime - 809 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Columbia County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<b>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</b>					
A-2	Permanent cover	409 Ac.	53	10,551	46.41
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	122 Ac.	15	3,911	17.20
<b>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</b>					
B-10	Timber stand improvement	35 Ac.	7	559	2.46
B-12	Weed control	444 Ac.	27	3,145	13.84
<b>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</b>					
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	7 Ac.	2	502	2.21
C-9	Permanent open drainage	66 Ac.	4	1,482	6.52
C-10	Underground drainage	83 Ac.	9	2,582	11.36
County total					22,732

A-2 Lime - 1,029 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Coos County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	1,191 Ac.	55	18,114	34.46
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	106 Ac.	7	1,225	2.33
A-8 Trees or shrubs to prevent erosion	1 Ac.	1	67	.13
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	395 Ac.	16	2,892	5.50
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	16 No.	15	6,377	12.13
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	584 Ac.	5	1,052	2.01
B-10 Timber stand improvement	336 Ac.	3	479	.91
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-7 Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	11 No.	7	7,887	15.01
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	251 Ac.	10	2,352	4.47
C-9 Permanent open drainage	222 Ac.	12	2,051	3.90
C-10 Underground drainage	189 Ac.	11	4,520	8.60
C-11 Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	20 Ac.	1	168	.32
<u>F - Special County Conservation Needs</u>				
F-2-d Sprinkler mainlines - cranberry bogs		12	5,378	10.23
County total			52,562	
A-2 Lime - 418 tons				

Agricultural Conservation Program

Crook County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	644 Ac.	19	7,235	8.53
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	426 Ac.	9	2,641	3.11
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-1	Improvement of cover for soil or watershed protection	29 Ac.	2	241	.29
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	2,999 Ac.	10	7,135	8.41
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	22,003 Ac.	33	42,572	50.18
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	2 No.	2	1,570	1.85
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	18 No.	6	2,972	3.51
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	4,043 Ac.	4	3,698	4.36
B-10	Timber stand improvement	40 Ac.	1	1,000	1.18
B-12	Weed control	297 Ac.	5	1,611	1.89
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	135 Ac.	4	2,320	2.74
C-10	Underground drainage	40 Ac.	1	600	.71
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	687 Ac.	12	5,617	6.62
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	205 Ac.	12	5,094	6.01
C-15	Irrigation ditch lining	12 Ac.	1	514	.61
County total					84,820

Agricultural Conservation Program

Curry County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	879 Ac.	20	9,372	45.63
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	42 Ac.	5	1,095	5.33
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	856 Ac.	13	4,443	21.63
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	1 No.	1	200	.97
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	3 No.	3	789	3.84
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	225 Ac.	4	440	2.14
B-10	Timber stand improvement	4 Ac.	1	105	.51
B-12	Weed control	177 Ac.	8	1,023	4.98
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	128 Ac.	3	1,346	6.55
C-9	Permanent open drainage	112 Ac.	2	823	4.01
C-10	Underground drainage	50 Ac.	2	561	2.73
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>					
G-3	Wildlife ponds	1 No.	1	344	1.68
County total					20,541

A-2 Lime - 303 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Deschutes County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-3 Additional acreages of cover	166 Ac.	8	1,923	5.24
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	1,550 Ac.	1	2,315	6.30
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	6,525 Ac.	4	8,850	24.08
B-5 Wells for livestock water	1 No.	1	1,000	2.72
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	1 No.	1	1,000	2.72
B-10 Timber stand improvement	69 Ac.	2	1,800	4.90
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	38 Ac.	2	1,250	3.40
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,926 Ac.	33	14,756	40.16
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	135 Ac.	7	2,347	6.39
C-15 Irrigation ditch lining	20 Ac.	1	1,000	2.72
<u>F - Special County Conservation Needs</u>				
F-2-k Drainage wells		1	505	1.37
County total			36,746	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Douglas County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	4,015 Ac.	133	43,475	67.67
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	20 Ac.	1	316	.49
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	1,008 Ac.	39	10,745	16.72
B-10 Timber stand improvement	27 Ac.	5	586	.91
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	55 Ac.	2	850	1.33
C-9 Permanent open drainage	215 Ac.	6	3,070	4.78
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	140 Ac.	5	5,000	7.78
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>				
D-1 Winter cover	110 Ac.	5	206	.32
County total			64,248	

A-2 Lime - 592 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Gilliam County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-3 Additional acreages of cover	216 Ac.	3	1,110	3.36
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	150 Ac.	1	1,000	3.03
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	865 Ac.	10	1,750	5.30
B-5 Wells for livestock water	1 No.	1	986	2.99
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	2 No.	1	354	1.07
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	9 No.	4	1,046	3.17
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	800 Ac.	1	109	.33
B-12 Weed control	271 Ac.	39	6,380	19.32
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-5 Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	5,670 Ac.	22	6,680	20.22
C-6 Erosion control dams	22 No.	4	2,408	7.29
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	115 Ac.	5	2,904	8.79
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	100 Ac.	2	430	1.30
C-17 Subsoiling	3,465 Ac.	8	1,733	5.25
<u>E - Temporary Protection of Soil from Wind and Water Erosion</u>				
E-1 Stubble mulching	10,337 Ac.	28	5,139	15.55
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices With Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>				
G-3 Wildlife ponds	3 No.	1	1,000	3.03
County total			33,029	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Grant County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	384 Ac.	12	3,535	4.83
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	12,053 Ac.	41	24,677	33.71
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	4,954 Ac.	50	21,728	29.68
B-5 Wells for livestock water	1 No.	1	500	.68
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	18 No.	10	3,265	4.46
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	5,640 Ac.	11	3,969	5.42
B-10 Timber stand improvement	36 Ac.	3	903	1.23
B-11 Stock trails through natural barriers	700 Ac.	2	217	.30
B-12 Weed control	302 Ac.	20	2,014	2.75
<u>C - Conservation and Water Disposal</u>				
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	488 Ac.	8	3,234	4.42
C-9 Permanent open drainage	15 Ac.	1	500	.68
C-10 Underground drainage	28 Ac.	4	1,161	1.59
C-11 Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	654 Ac.	15	5,844	7.98
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	80 Ac.	5	1,169	1.60
C-16 Spreader ditches or dikes	75 Ac.	2	492	.67
County total			73,208	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Harney County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	2,354 Ac.	23	6,858	8.32
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	6,145 Ac.	22	15,903	19.29
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	36,745 Ac.	53	36,653	44.44
B-5 Wells for livestock water	5 No.	5	2,444	2.96
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	2 No.	2	356	.43
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	4 No.	11	4,680	5.67
B-8 Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc., for livestock water	1,500 Ac.	1	496	.60
B-12 Weed control	40 Ac.	7	350	.42
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-5 Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	289 Ac.	3	746	.90
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	20 Ac.	1	200	.24
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	11,610 Ac.	27	13,790	16.73
County total			82,476	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Hood River

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A- Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	25 Ac.	1	750	3.07
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-10 Underground drainage	311 Ac.	20	3,606	14.74
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,127 Ac.	53	19,319	78.98
C-17 Subsoiling	296 Ac.	11	786	3.21
County total			24,461	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Jackson County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farm	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	514 Ac.	25	5,753	7.90
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	60 Ac.	5	1,573	2.16
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	226 Ac.	9	2,833	3.89
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	3 No.	1	200	.27
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	5 No.	27	16,229	22.29
B-10	Timber stand improvement	100 Ac.	7	1,430	1.96
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	26 Ac.	1	79	.11
C-7	Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	4 No.	4	850	1.17
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	365 Ac.	10	6,773	9.30
C-9	Permanent open drainage	279 Ac.	10	2,128	2.92
C-10	Underground drainage	316 Ac.	10	5,048	6.93
C-11	Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	22 Ac.	2	220	.30
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,660 Ac.	32	20,184	27.72
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	355 Ac.	19	7,923	10.88
C-15	Irrigation ditch lining	160 Ac.	2	1,600	2.20
County total					72,823

Agricultural Conservation Program

Jefferson County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<b>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</b>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	1,367 Ac.	1	342	.47
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	2,451 Ac.	22	23,458	32.45
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	2 No.	2	400	.55
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	6 No.	5	903	1.25
B-8 Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc., for livestock water	320 Ac.	1	600	.83
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	7,840 Ac.	3	4,600	6.36
B-10 Timber stand improvement	15 Ac.	1	300	.41
B-11 Stock trails through natural barriers	2,050 Ac.	2	342	.47
B-12 Weed control	24 Ac.	6	475	.66
<b>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</b>				
C-1 Permanent sod waterways	2 Ac.	2	421	.58
C-5 Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	229 Ac.	2	272	.38
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	115 Ac.	2	1,597	2.21
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,647 Ac.	40	18,657	25.80
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	224 Ac.	11	3,655	5.06
C-15 Irrigation ditch lining	705 Ac.	20	16,278	22.52
County total			72,300	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Josephine County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	310 Ac.	27	4,128	6.66
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	33 Ac.	8	1,187	1.92
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	10 No.	9	3,559	5.75
B-10 Timber stand improvement	227 Ac.	30	5,995	9.68
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-1 Permanent sod waterways	8 Ac.	4	975	1.57
C-7 Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	8 No.	4	260	.42
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	2,927 Ac.	57	29,767	48.06
C-9 Permanent open drainage	223 Ac.	6	1,450	2.34
C-10 Underground drainage	20 Ac.	2	600	.97
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,310 Ac.	20	8,629	13.93
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	138 Ac.	9	3,420	5.52
C-15 Irrigation ditch lining	51 Ac.	4	1,171	1.89
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>				
G-3 Wildlife ponds	1 No.	1	801	1.29
County total			61,942	

A-2 Lime - 154 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Klamath County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	1,270 Ac.	24	10,289	8.37
A-3 Additional acreages of cover	3 Ac.	3	1,860	1.51
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	317 Ac.	6	2,003	1.63
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	815 Ac.	10	2,695	2.19
B-5 Wells for livestock water	2 No.	2	1,017	.83
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	1 No.	1	200	.16
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	8 No.	6	3,283	2.67
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	70 Ac.	1	78	.06
B-10 Timber stand improvement	52 Ac.	4	2,720	2.21
B-12 Weed control	146 Ac.	10	1,637	1.33
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-6 Erosion control dams	3 No.	2	296	.24
C-7 Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	45 No.	9	1,227	1.00
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	568 Ac.	4	2,623	2.13
C-9 Permanent open drainage	744 Ac.	12	4,672	3.80
C-10 Underground drainage	686 Ac.	18	11,353	9.24
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	8,638 Ac.	61	51,346	41.77
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	1,279 Ac.	33	21,668	17.63
C-16 Spreader ditches or dikes	100 Ac.	1	417	.34
C-17 Subsoiling	501 Ac.	15	3,545	2.89
County total			122,929	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Lake County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	871 Ac.	22	6,394	10.12
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	1,651 Ac.	11	7,579	12.00
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	4,958 Ac.	21	10,321	16.34
B-5 Wells for livestock water	2 No.	2	1,680	2.66
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	11 No.	10	2,259	3.58
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	2,060 Ac.	2	514	.81
B-10 Timber stand improvement	515 Ac.	2	2,250	3.56
B-11 Stock trails through natural barriers	1,200 Ac.	1	480	.76
B-12 Weed control	181 Ac.	9	1,263	2.00
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-5 Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	930 Ac.	5	954	1.51
C-6 Erosion control dams	1 No.	1	1,500	2.37
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	695 Ac.	11	3,793	6.00
C-9 Permanent open drainage	400 Ac.	2	700	1.11
C-11 Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	473 Ac.	9	6,160	9.75
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	8,353 Ac.	133	11,718	18.55
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	747 Ac.	9	5,160	8.17
C-16 Spreader ditches or dikes	80 Ac.	1	450	.71
County total			63,175	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Lane County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<b>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</b>				
A-2 Permanent cover	1,157 Ac.	93	29,296	42.57
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	98 Ac.	4	2,554	3.71
<b>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</b>				
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	3 No.	3	564	.82
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	7 No.	7	5,798	8.42
B-10 Timber stand improvement	96 Ac.	7	2,054	2.98
<b>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</b>				
C-1 Permanent sod waterways	2 Ac.	2	367	.53
C-2 Permanent cover on dams and other problem areas	2 Ac.	1	12	.02
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	837 Ac.	40	18,357	26.68
C-9 Permanent open drainage	326 Ac.	16	3,342	4.86
C-10 Underground drainage	171 Ac.	13	2,287	3.32
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	30 Ac.	2	261	.38
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	34 Ac.	1	1,000	1.45
<b>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</b>				
D-1 Winter cover	1,084 Ac.	35	1,411	2.05
<b>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</b>				
G-3 Wildlife ponds	2 No.	2	1,520	2.21
County total			68,823	
A-2 Lime - 2,097 tons				

Agricultural Conservation Program

Lincoln County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	275 Ac.	17	5,278	33.28
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	1 No.	1	47	.29
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-7 Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	2 No.	2	867	5.47
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	258 Ac.	16	8,164	51.48
C-9 Permanent open drainage	23 Ac.	1	391	2.47
C-10 Underground drainage	70 Ac.	2	1,113	7.01
County total			15,860	

A-2 Lime - 444 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Linn County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	2,724 Ac.	122	30,703	25.17
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	235 Ac.	17	2,541	2.08
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	46 Ac.	5	1,609	1.32
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	541 Ac.	31	3,714	3.04
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	10 No.	9	1,529	1.25
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	18 No.	18	7,940	6.51
B-8	Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc. for livestock water	170 Ac.	7	1,500	1.23
B-10	Timber stand improvement	128 Ac.	15	3,903	3.20
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	6 Ac.	2	116	.10
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	1,068 Ac.	20	5,869	4.81
C-9	Permanent open drainage	440 Ac.	27	7,116	5.83
C-10	Underground drainage	585 Ac.	71	29,000	23.78
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	70 Ac.	1	700	.57
C-17	Subsoiling	17 Ac.	1	126	.10
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-1	Winter cover	526 Ac.	17	800	.67
<u>F - Special County Conservation Needs</u>					
F-2-n	Restoring dam and canal - special project		18	24,000	19.68
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>					
G-1	Wildlife food plots or habitat	10 Ac.	1	61	.05
G-2	Shallow water areas for wildlife	1 No.	1	106	.09
G-3	Wildlife ponds	2 No.	2	628	.51
<u>H - Beautification-Conservation Practices</u>					
County total					121,976
A-2 Lime - 4,570 tons					

Agricultural Conservation Program

Malheur County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	128 Ac.	2	800	.44
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	6,217 Ac.	11	9,178	5.10
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	1 No.	1	79	.04
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	320 Ac.	1	91	.05
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-7 Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	6 No.	3	221	.12
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	20 Ac.	1	550	.31
C-9 Permanent open drainage	40 Ac.	2	529	.29
C-10 Underground drainage	427 Ac.	18	7,467	4.15
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,251 Ac.	44	18,827	10.45
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	1,335 Ac.	77	29,072	16.14
C-15 Irrigation ditch lining	3,768 Ac.	154	108,342	60.15
C-17 Subsoiling	227 Ac.	16	2,110	1.17
<u>F - Special county Conservation Needs</u>				
F-2-b Deep plowing		9	2,857	1.59
County total			180,123	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Marion County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	2,500 Ac.	175	50,000	27.06
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	70 Ac.	15	3,400	1.84
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	7 No.	8	4,800	2.60
B-10	Timber stand improvement	110 Ac.	12	2,100	1.14
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	10 Ac.	1	270	.15
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	2,500 Ac.	190	17,000	9.20
C-9	Permanent open drainage	400 Ac.	155	4,500	2.44
C-10	Underground drainage	2,000 Ac.	165	80,000	43.29
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	7,000 Ac.	145	4,050	2.19
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-1	Winter cover	4,700 Ac.	140	8,100	4.38
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>					
G-3	Wildlife ponds	14 No.	14	9,760	5.28
<u>H - Beautification-Conservation Practices</u>					
				184,780	

A-2 Lime - 8,350 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Morrow County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	346 Ac.	13	2,820	4.40
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	12 Ac.	1	33	.05
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	90 Ac.	1	375	.59
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	1,461 Ac.	9	2,306	3.60
B-5	Wells for livestock water	1 No.	1	534	.83
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	5 No.	5	688	1.07
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	32 No.	13	6,440	10.05
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	5,220 Ac.	4	1,775	2.77
B-10	Timber stand improvement	12 Ac.	1	360	.56
B-12	Weed control	4,833 Ac.	46	12,538	19.56
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	14 Ac.	6	489	.76
C-2	Permanent cover on dams and other problem areas	43 Ac.	6	234	.37
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	2,805 Ac.	16	2,843	4.44
C-6	Erosion control dams	2 No.	2	590	.92
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	1,057 Ac.	20	15,353	23.95
C-9	Permanent open drainage	5 Ac.	1	90	.14
C-10	Underground drainage	4 Ac.	2	302	.47
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	218 Ac.	9	5,647	8.81
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	215 Ac.	14	3,365	5.25
C-17	Subsoiling	1,574 Ac.	5	4,723	7.37
<u>E - Temporary Protection of Soil From Wind and Water Erosion</u>					
E-1	Stubble mulching	3,408 Ac.	6	2,252	3.51
E-2	Contour farming	652 Ac.	1	326	.51
E-4	Applying mulch	3 Ac.	1	15	.02
County total					64,098

Agricultural Conservation Program

Multnomah County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	352 Ac.	17	4,335	17.06
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	9 Ac.	2	207	.81
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	3 No.	3	3,372	13.27
B-12 Weed control	94 Ac.	5	1,208	4.75
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-9 Permanent open drainage	52 Ac.	3	419	1.65
C-10 Underground drainage	261 Ac.	24	11,971	47.10
C-17 Subsoiling	525 Ac.	14	2,874	11.31
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>				
G-2 Shallow water areas for wildlife	3 No.	3	1,030	4.05
County total			25,416	

A-2 Lime - 471 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Polk County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<b>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</b>					
A-2	Permanent cover	828 Ac.	53	16,365	17.27
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	168 Ac.	4	1,876	1.98
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	110 Ac.	7	2,855	3.01
<b>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</b>					
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	23 No.	23	16,059	16.95
B-10	Timber stand improvement	22 Ac.	4	829	.87
<b>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</b>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	4 Ac.	1	395	.42
C-7	Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	7 No.	5	2,137	2.25
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	504 Ac.	21	10,116	10.67
C-10	Underground drainage	831 Ac.	56	39,170	41.33
<b>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</b>					
D-1	Winter cover	1,209 Ac.	27	2,236	2.36
<b>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</b>					
G-1	Wildlife food plots or habitat	180 Ac.	4	1,730	1.83
G-3	Wildlife ponds	1 No.	1	1,000	1.06
County total					94,768

A-2 Lime - 1,800 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Sherman County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	133 Ac.	6	655	1.60
A-3 Additional acreages of cover	16 Ac.	1	32	.08
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	20 Ac.	1	46	.11
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	379 Ac.	5	705	1.71
B-5 Wells for livestock water	1 No.	1	223	.54
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	1 No.	1	113	.28
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	1 No.	1	789	1.92
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	420 Ac.	2	780	1.90
B-11 Stock trails through natural barriers	400 Ac.	2	1,005	2.45
B-12 Weed control	4,945 Ac.	46	8,720	21.23
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-1 Permanent sod waterways	23 Ac.	6	828	2.02
C-5 Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	4,049 Ac.	32	23,294	56.73
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	167 Ac.	1	1,001	2.44
<u>E - Temporary Protection of Soil From Wind and Water Erosion</u>				
E-1 Stubble mulching	4,136 Ac.	11	2,869	6.99
County total			41,060	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Tillamook County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	590 Ac.	57	21,632	54.28
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	7 Ac.	2	132	.33
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-10	Timber stand improvement	50 Ac.	2	1,043	2.62
B-12	Weed control	1,534 Ac.	92	5,298	13.29
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-7	Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	1 No.	2	1,056	2.65
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	263 Ac.	7	5,426	13.62
C-9	Permanent open drainage	131 Ac.	12	3,288	8.25
C-10	Underground drainage	33 Ac.	9	1,821	4.57
C-11	Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	11 Ac.	2	105	.26
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	5 Ac.	1	50	.13
County total					39,851

A-2 Lime - 1,323 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Umatilla County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	125 Ac.	9	789	.71
A-6	Field stripcropping	1,124 Ac.	4	2,732	2.49
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	10 Ac.	1	170	.15
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	150 Ac.	2	431	.39
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	30 No.	19	3,594	3.28
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	1 No.	1	100	.09
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	340 Ac.	1	300	.27
B-10	Timber stand improvement	143 Ac.	9	2,871	2.62
B-12	Weed control	212 Ac.	27	5,636	5.14
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	30 Ac.	4	325	.30
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	2,038 Ac.	20	9,923	9.05
C-6	Erosion control dams	1 No.	1	100	.09
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	391 Ac.	11	9,735	8.88
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,061 Ac.	41	28,239	25.75
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	611 Ac.	26	16,109	14.69
C-15	Irrigation ditch lining	158 Ac.	4	3,780	3.45
C-17	Subsoiling	8,472 Ac.	40	15,435	14.07
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-3	Green manure crops	22 Ac.	1	80	.07
<u>E - Temporary Protection of Soil From Wind and Water Erosion</u>					
E-1	Stubble mulching	1,436 Ac.	18	8,924	8.14
E-4	Applying mulch	24 Ac.	2	410	.37
County total					109,683

Agricultural Conservation Program

Union County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	360 Ac.	16	1,352	2.33
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	14,202	49	5,659	9.75
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	4,001 Ac.	6	2,422	4.18
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	2,578 Ac.	18	7,221	12.45
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	6 No.	5	758	1.31
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	29 No.	21	6,995	12.06
B-8	Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc., for livestock water	150 Ac.	1	100	.17
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	960 Ac.	3	388	.67
B-10	Timber stand improvement	128 Ac.	15	3,341	5.76
B-12	Weed control	271 Ac.	39	5,200	8.96
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterways	7 Ac.	3	282	.49
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	109 Ac.	5	339	.58
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	151 Ac.	3	1,943	3.35
C-9	Permanent open drainage	97 Ac.	4	401	.69
C-10	Underground drainage	66 Ac.	3	488	.84
C-11	Shaping or land grading to permit drainage	2 Ac.	1	12	.02
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	5,063 Ac.	48	11,482	19.79
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	15 Ac.	1	221	.38
C-17	Subsoiling	1,631 Ac.	20	7,058	12.17
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>					
G-3	Wildlife ponds	4 No.	4	1,499	2.58
<u>H - Beautification-Conservation Practices</u>					
			2	855	1.47
County total					58,016

Agricultural Conservation Program

Wallowa County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	296 Ac.	10	1,317	2.98
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	1,568 Ac.	40	4,292	9.70
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	105 Ac.	4	243	.55
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	16 No.	5	864	1.95
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	27 No.	9	2,032	4.59
B-8	Pipelines, supplemental storage, etc., for livestock water	190 Ac.	3	1,241	2.81
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	460 Ac.	2	620	1.40
B-10	Timber stand improvement	54 Ac.	11	1,664	3.76
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-1	Permanent sod waterway	5 Ac.	1	150	.34
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	15 Ac.	2	411	.93
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	30 Ac.	3	1,942	4.39
C-10	Underground drainage	645 Ac.	6	2,376	5.37
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	2,622 Ac.	26	26,715	60.41
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	15 Ac.	2	190	.43
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-3	Green manure crops	358 Ac.	4	171	.39
County total					44,228

Agricultural Conservation Program

Wasco County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-1	Permanent cover in orchards or vineyards	20 Ac.	1	74	.12
A-2	Permanent cover	795 Ac.	34	3,398	5.58
A-3	Additional acreages of cover	133 Ac.	4	537	.88
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-2	Improvement of cover on rangeland	214 Ac.	2	772	1.27
B-3	Control of competitive shrubs	1,367 Ac.	9	3,240	5.32
B-5	Wells for livestock water	2 No.	2	950	1.56
B-6	Springs or seeps for livestock water	9 No.	9	1,445	2.37
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	16 No.	12	9,891	16.25
B-9	Fencing to protect established cover	1,030 Ac.	5	537	.88
B-10	Timber stand improvement	130 Ac.	4	3,150	5.18
B-11	Stock trails through natural barriers	250 Ac.	2	345	.57
B-12	Weed control	1,358 Ac.	45	5,515	9.06
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-2	Permanent cover on dams and other problem areas	2 Ac.	1	8	.01
C-5	Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	1,448 Ac.	11	5,817	9.56
C-6	Erosion control dams	1 No.	1	337	.55
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	148 Ac.	4	1,548	2.54
C-9	Permanent open drainage	150 Ac.	2	532	.87
C-10	Underground drainage	10 Ac.	1	98	.16
C-12	Reorganizing irrigation systems	1,320 Ac.	8	8,110	13.33
C-13	Leveling for irrigation	72 Ac.	6	1,920	3.15
C-17	Subsoiling	1,264 Ac.	9	1,172	1.93
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-1	Winter cover	3,972 Ac.	84	10,688	17.56
<u>E - Temporary Protection of Soil From Wind and Water Erosion</u>					
E-1	Stubble mulching	706 Ac.	4	792	1.30
County total					60,876

Agricultural Conservation Program

Washington County

Practices		Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>					
A-2	Permanent cover	1,545 Ac.	165	20,423	25.45
A-7	Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	197 Ac.	23	7,033	8.77
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>					
B-7	Reservoirs for agricultural uses	19 No.	20	7,113	8.87
B-10	Timber stand improvement	181 Ac.	12	4,437	5.53
B-12	Weed control	279 Ac.	55	2,025	2.52
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>					
C-3	Contour planting orchards	8 Ac.	1	40	.05
C-7	Mechanical protection of inlets or outlets	1 No.	1	750	.93
C-8	Streambank or shore protection	88 Ac.	11	2,704	3.37
C-9	Permanent open drainage	1,369 Ac.	29	11,309	14.10
C-10	Underground drainage	1,273 Ac.	65	19,242	23.98
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>					
D-1	Winter cover	1,577 Ac.	46	2,211	2.76
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>					
G-1	Wildlife food plots or habitat	137 Ac.	11	1,904	2.37
G-3	Wildlife ponds	2 No.	2	1,042	1.30
County total					80,233

A-2 Lime - 2,956 tons

Agricultural Conservation Program

Wheeler County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost- Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	154 Ac.	4	474	1.83
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-2 Improvement of cover on rangeland	709 Ac.	6	1,533	5.93
B-3 Control of competitive shrubs	2,153 Ac.	12	5,592	21.65
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	8 No.	3	880	3.41
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	1 No.	1	346	1.34
B-9 Fencing to protect established cover	1,000 Ac.	2	912	3.53
B-10 Timber stand improvement	102 Ac.	4	2,730	10.57
B-11 Stock trails through natural barriers	2,500 Ac.	2	550	2.13
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-5 Diversion terraces, ditches, or dikes	200 Ac.	1	150	.58
C-6 Erosion control dams	4 No.	3	1,950	7.55
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	388 Ac.	10	6,082	23.54
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	322 Ac.	5	2,605	10.08
C-13 Leveling for irrigation	62 Ac.	2	1,581	6.12
C-17 Subsoiling	162 Ac.	1	450	1.74
County total			25,835	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Yamhill County

Practices	Units Reported	No. Farms	Cost-Shares	% of Total
<u>A - Establishment of Permanent Protective Cover</u>				
A-2 Permanent cover	1,433 Ac.	95	25,060	17.66
A-7 Trees or shrubs for forestry purposes	125 Ac.	14	4,662	3.29
<u>B - Improvement and Protection of Established Vegetative Cover</u>				
B-6 Springs or seeps for livestock water	2 No.	2	150	.11
B-7 Reservoirs for agricultural uses	5 No.	5	4,094	2.89
B-10 Timber stand improvement	252 Ac.	20	9,022	6.36
<u>C - Conservation and Disposal of Water</u>				
C-2 Permanent cover on dams and other problem areas	1 Ac.	1	2	.00
C-8 Streambank or shore protection	64 Ac.	7	2,900	2.04
C-10 Underground drainage	1,002 Ac.	56	36,370	25.64
C-12 Reorganizing irrigation systems	3,200 Ac.	52	56,631	39.92
<u>D - Establishing Temporary Protective Vegetative Cover</u>				
D-1 Winter cover	1,438 Ac.	35	2,218	1.56
<u>G - Wildlife Conservation Practices with Soil and Water Conservation Benefits</u>				
G-2 Shallow water areas for wildlife	4 No.	4	123	.08
G-3 Wildlife ponds	1 No.	1	436	.31
<u>H - Beautification-Conservation Practices</u>				
A-2 Lime - 2,703 tons		2	195	.14
County total			141,863	

Agricultural Conservation Program

Participation in Pooling Agreements

County	Number of Agreements	Number of Farms	Cost-Shares
Baker	7	30	6,568
Benton	1	4	781
Clackamas	1	2	462
Clatsop	1	6	180
Columbia	1	2	492
Coos	2	8	6,302
Deschutes	2	37	5,322
Douglas	1	5	5,000
Grant	4	38	4,018
Harney	3	13	3,088
Hood River	1	2	403
Jackson	3	29	16,842
Jefferson	1	11	17,438
Josephine	7	43	10,182
Klamath	2	4	885
Lake	1	116	2,800
Lane	3	12	2,134
Linn	4	11	2,714
Malheur	2	5	879
Marion	6	162	14,268
Morrow	1	8	785
Polk	4	11	3,868
Tillamook	4	7	2,177
Umatilla	3	9	9,027
Union	6	33	1,914
Wallowa	2	10	10,739
Wasco	1	2	202
Washington	10	23	5,208
Totals	84	643	134,678

Agricultural Conservation Program

Summary of Data For Public Law 566 Watersheds  
and Upper Willamette RC&D Project

Name	County	No. Farms in ACP	Cost- Shares
Wolf Creek, North Powder	Baker	38	15,467
	Union	22	5,316
Pine Valley	Baker	18	2,183
	Benton	2	1,896
Lower Amazon and Flat Creek	Lane	23	8,006
	Lane	8	2,008
Lynx Hollow	Lane	4	236
Willakenzie	Lane	4	2,009
Skipanon River	Clatsop	5	1,676
Sutherlin Creek	Douglas	12	4,113
Middle Fork of Hood River	Hood River	25	12,000
Beaver Creek	Marion	50	30,000
Little Pudding River	Marion	8	5,456
Tutuilla Creek	Umatilla		
<hr/>			
Upper Willamette RC&D	Benton	43	11,051
	Lane	173	57,155
	Linn	311	121,976



### Cropland Adjustment Program

The Cropland Adjustment Program was authorized under the Food and Agriculture Act of 1965 and was available to farmers for the first time in 1966.

The CAP provides for long-term retirement of cropland from specified crops determined to be in surplus supply. Provision is also made for the retirement of tame hay lands in some situations. In Oregon, the crops designated in 1966 were wheat, corn, grain sorghums, and barley. In 1967 only wheat and corn were designated. Participating farmers signed five to ten year agreements to divert all of the farm allotment or base for at least one of these crops. The acreage being diverted must be withdrawn from any agricultural production and maintained in an approved conservation use for the period of the agreement. Participants receive an adjustment payment for the acreage diverted and cost-share assistance for establishing the necessary conserving use. The adjustment payment is related to the productivity of the farm and the crop being diverted.

#

Cropland Adjustment Program

County	Number of Agreements	Acreage	1967 Adjustment Payments
Baker	1	225	5,043
Benton	3	207	1,975
Clackamas	13	556	13,876
Douglas	1	54	400
Gilliam	1	212	3,873
Grant	1	104	970
Harney	2	422	2,386
Jackson	1	99	2,768
Jefferson	4	717	7,880
Klamath	1	454	8,490
Lake	4	870	9,548
Lane	6	366	5,883
Linn	2	64	1,254 *
Malheur	1	74	*
Polk	6	137	1,716
Sherman	1	68	1,028
Umatilla	2	232	7,706
Union	1	99	1,738
Wallowa	3	231	4,229
Wasco	3	337	3,040 *
Washington	27	486	10,963
Wheeler	1	143	1,940
Yamhill	19	872	9,181
Totals	104	7,029	105,887

\* Lump sum adjustment payment made in 1966 not included.

### Cropland Conversion Program

Following the expiration of the Soil Bank Act in 1960 several land use adjustment programs were authorized by Congress on a pilot basis. All were directed generally at converting cropland into other more needed uses such as recreation, wildlife habitat, permanent vegetation and trees. These cropland conversion programs were approved in selected States and counties to test various combinations of payment rates and contract provisions.

In Oregon, Morrow and Umatilla counties were selected for a trial CCP for 1965. A limited number of 5-10 year agreements were accepted. The agreements called for designation of specific cropland which could not be harvested but could be grazed. A corresponding reduction in the average acreage of row and grain crops was required. All of the designated acreage in these counties was converted to permanent cover.

Data for the agreements approved are shown below.

County	Number of Agreements	Number of Acres	Annual Payments
Morrow	8	817	6,563
Umatilla	24	1,426	4,279
Totals	32	2,243	10,842



### Conservation Reserve Program

The Conservation Reserve Program was a part of the Soil Bank Program enacted in 1956. It was essentially a cropland retirement program operating through voluntary contracts with farmers ranging from three to ten years in duration.

1960 was the last year in which new contracts were written. These Conservation Reserve contracts required the designation of a specific acreage of cropland which could not be grazed or harvested, and which would be devoted to a conserving use. A corresponding reduction in the acreage of most annual crops on the farm was also required. In return the farmer received an annual rental payment for compliance with the contract and cost-share assistance for establishing an approved conservation use on the designated acreage.

On the following page is a table listing basic data for all contracts in effect during 1967.

#

1967 Conservation Reserve Program

County	Number of Contracts	Total Number of Acres Under Contract	Annual Payments Earned
Baker	12	2,053	28,179
Benton	41	2,934	40,037
Clackamas	21	824	14,183
Columbia	12	610	10,862
Coos	1	74	1,168
Douglas	11	1,168	16,293
Gilliam	11	2,922	31,712
Harney	10	3,743	33,101
Jackson	5	56	718
Jefferson	7	1,364	21,599
Josephine	1	40	439
Klamath	8	2,459	31,116
Lake	17	3,790	45,168
Lane	42	2,712	44,182
Linn	36	3,211	49,449
Malheur	7	1,035	13,854
Marion	37	3,073	54,014
Morrow	15	4,177	58,363
Multnomah	8	914	15,501
Polk	21	1,943	30,624
Sherman	6	1,243	20,391
Umatilla	26	4,212	56,495
Union	10	1,441	22,481
Wallowa	20	4,325	63,884
Wasco	32	6,443	115,636
Washington	21	530	8,961
Wheeler	7	1,941	27,577
Yamhill	49	2,575	41,519
<b>Totals</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>61,812</b>	<b>897,506</b>

## 1967 Commodity Loan and Purchase Agreement Program

Commodity loans and purchases are one phase of the Price Support Program designed to help stabilize the agricultural economy and to provide a means for more orderly marketing of certain commodities.

In Oregon - wheat, barley, corn, oats, rye, and honey were the commodities which received price support through loans and purchases.

Producers of the price supported commodities may apply for loans based on specific price support rates providing the commodities meet certain requirements. The support value of commodities under loan or purchase agreement remains constant regardless of market fluctuations. This allows the producer a choice in marketing his commodity; to forfeit the commodity at the price support value in satisfaction of his loan or to repay the loan and sell on the open market, whichever is to his advantage.

The following pages of this section outline the activity under the program for the 1967 crop year.

- #

Barley Placed Under Loan

County	<u>Warehouse Stored</u>		<u>Farm Stored</u>		<u>Total Bushels</u>	Bushels Repaid *
	No.		No.		Under Support	
	Loans	Bushels	Loans	Bushels		
Baker	5	24,521	2	8,203	32,724	10,373
Benton			1	1,495	1,495	
Gilliam	1	871	3	20,687	21,558	871
Klamath	1	39,583	2	20,610	60,193	
Lake			1	2,190	2,190	1,624
Malheur			1	2,925	2,925	
Morrow	13	34,252	5	19,617	53,869	36,336
Polk			5	19,395	19,395	8,324
Sherman	9	17,508	3	4,805	22,313	17,789
Umatilla	3	2,666			2,666	
Union			3	16,711	16,711	12,786
Wallowa	2	3,279	6	23,507	26,786	8,562
Wasco	1	5,403			5,403	
Washington			4	8,235	8,235	
Yamhill			8	21,783	21,783	162
Totals	35	128,083	44	170,163	298,246	96,827

Corn Placed Under Loan  
Farm Stored

County	No. Loans	Bushels	Bushels Repaid *
Benton	2	17,350	6,712

Rye Placed Under Loan  
Farm Stored

County	No. Loans	Bushels	Bushels Repaid *
Lake	1	423	-

Honey Placed Under Loan  
Farm Stored

County	No. Loans	Pounds	Pounds Repaid *
Baker	1	4,050	-
Marion	1	3,240	
Totals	2	7,290	

\* As of March 31, 1968.

Wheat Placed Under Loan

County	<u>Warehouse Stored</u>		<u>Farm Stored</u>		<u>Total Bushels Under Support</u>	<u>Bushels Repaid *</u>
	No. Loans	Bushels	No. Loans	Bushels		
Baker	35	144,610	4	9,900	154,510	96,892
Benton			4	20,404	20,404	
Crook			1	7,220	7,220	
Gilliam	57	384,332	33	341,848	726,180	529,828
Jefferson	5	7,747	50	65,466	73,213	19,437
Klamath			1	5,085	5,085	5,085
Lake	14	35,746	18	72,425	108,171	33,035
Malheur			5	6,404	6,404	1,666
Morrow	162	730,657	91	744,052	1,474,709	724,314
Polk	1	5,640	11	57,884	63,524	19,363
Sherman	134	805,398	30	256,431	1,061,829	779,864
Umatilla	134	1,128,748	44	454,072	1,582,820	931,838
Union	84	359,089	7	40,907	399,996	250,007
Wallowa	27	144,323	14	120,920	265,243	167,674
Wasco	30	230,844	114	353,240	584,084	364,466
Washington	1	1,195	12	51,498	52,693	26,315
Wheeler	6	27,692	2	10,600	38,292	26,207
Yamhill	2	6,851	31	126,404	133,255	32,167
<b>Totals</b>	<b>692</b>	<b>4,012,872</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>2,744,760</b>	<b>6,757,632</b>	<b>4,008,158</b>

Oats Placed Under Loan

County	<u>Warehouse Stored</u>		<u>Farm Stored</u>		<u>Total Bushels Under Support</u>	<u>Bushels Repaid *</u>
	No. Loans	Bushels	No. Loans	Bushels		
Klamath	5	823,730	2	49,477	873,207	359,167
Lake	1	1,877			1,877	
Wallowa			1	936	936	
Yamhill			2	2,805	2,805	2,805
<b>Totals</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>825,607</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>53,218</b>	<b>878,825</b>	<b>361,972</b>

\* As of March 31, 1968.



## 1967 Farm Storage Structure and Dryer Equipment Loan Program

The storage and dryer equipment loan programs are a supplement to the commodity loan and purchase program.

Storage loans are available to producers of crops eligible for price support for the construction of farm facilities to store these crops. Generally, loans are limited to 85 percent of the out-of-pocket cost of construction and are repayable in four equal annual installments at a per annum interest rate of four percent. The construction of these facilities allows the producer to store his grain after harvest and to market the grain at the time of greatest advantage rather than being forced to market at harvest time when prices are usually lowest.

Dryer equipment loans are available to those producers who have capacity for storing price supported crops and provide a means for conditioning the grain for safe storage. Dryer loans are limited to 85 percent of the cost and are repayable in three equal annual installments at a per annum interest rate of four percent. No new dryer loans were made in 1967.

The following page outlines the activity under this program.

#

1967 Farm Storage Facility Loan Program

County	Number of Loans Made	Principal Loaned	Bushel Capacity	Number Loans Outstanding 12/31/67	Principal Outstanding
Baker	2	4,021	13,000	2	4,021
Gilliam	1	3,863	16,000	2	7,193
Jefferson	2	1,040	4,400	2	1,040
Klamath				1	18,390
Malheur	3	2,495	7,050	6	4,335
Morrow	10	21,762	93,200	16	28,463
Sherman	2	10,540	30,820	7	30,492
Umatilla				1	9
Union				9	870
Wallowa	2	1,568	6,020	9	10,396
Wasco	2	9,869	34,518	2	13,369
Washington	2	2,016	1,200	2	2,016
Yamhill	7	16,092	64,724		19,871
Totals	33	73,266	270,932	66	140,465

## 1967 Feed Grain Program

The 1967 Feed Grain Program provided for voluntary reduction from the 1959-60 base acreage of feed grain crops on individual farms. Only those producers who participated in the program were eligible for price support. Participants were required to:

- 1 Signup for the 1967 program at the ASCS county office.
- 2 Take at least 20 percent of the farm feed grain base out of production.
- 3 Comply with intended diversion as stated in signup papers and were permitted to substitute feed grain for wheat if no barley or oat-rye bases were established.
- 4 Use the acres taken out of feed grain production for conservation use in addition to the conservation use base acreage for the farm.

The total price support for 1967 for corn and grain sorghum was in two parts:

The loan rate for corn was \$1.05 a bushel, national average, and price support payment was 30 cents a bushel, making a total price support rate of \$1.35 a bushel, national average.

For grain sorghum, the national average loan rate was \$1.61 per cwt., and the national average price support payment 53 cents per cwt., making a national average price support rate of \$2.14 per cwt.

The price support payment on participating farms was made on the basis of the established normal yield per acre for the crop and the number of acres planted not to exceed 50 percent of the feed grain base.

Loan rates were calculated for each county in which each of the crops was grown, in the same manner as county loan rates have been determined in the past.

Diversion payments were made on farms having an effective base of 25 acres or less for the acres taken out of feed grain production and put to an approved conserving use.

For these farms two diversion payments per acre were figured for corn and grain sorghum, as applicable.

One of these rates was based on 20 percent of the established normal yield for the farm and the applicable county price support rate. This rate applied to the first 20 percent of the feed grain base diverted from production.

The other rate for diverting feed grain base acreage was based on 50 percent of the established normal yield for the farm and the applicable county price support rate for the crop diverted. This rate applied to all acreage diverted above the first 20 percent of the base.

Participating farms having bases greater than 25 acres were required to make the minimum diversion to receive price support payments. These farms did not receive diversion payments.

The extent of participation in the program is shown on the following pages.

#

1967 Feed Grain Program

County	Feed Grain Farms		Base Acreage	
	All	Participating	All Farms	Participating
Baker	24	2	405	30
Benton	45	20	1,407	1,121
Clackamas	333	181	4,630	3,023
Columbia	11	2	188	24
Crook	8	2	100	25
Douglas	51	10	600	181
Gilliam	1	1	24	24
Harney	1		367	
Hood River	3		30	
Jackson	51	6	757	88
Jefferson	27	4	411	103
Josephine	22	3	376	72
Lane	95	16	2,255	402
Linn	192	31	3,316	639
Malheur	1,017	316	17,355	6,727
Marion	622	231	10,637	5,250
Morrow	15	15	228	228
Multnomah	13	2	242	55
Polk	56	22	881	435
Umatilla	123	58	3,939	1,767
Wasco	3	2	172	42
Washington	164	66	1,917	977
Yamhill	125	60	1,629	880
Totals	3,002	1,050	51,866	22,093

1967 Feed Grain Program

County	Acres For Payment			Payments		
	Diversion	Price	Support	Diversion	Price	Support
						Total
Baker	26			1,540		1,540
Benton	133		371	6,863	10,829	17,692
Clackamas	1,757		222	106,166	5,409	111,575
Columbia	24			1,261		1,261
Crook	17			814		814
Douglas	136			7,445		7,445
Gilliam	22			1,087		1,087
Jackson	78			3,522		3,522
Jefferson	78			4,757		4,757
Josephine	14		28	614	689	1,303
Lane	201		50	12,848	1,305	14,153
Linn	416			24,335		24,335
Malheur	2,731		1,226	177,356	34,358	211,714
Marion	2,173		411	118,856	9,675	128,531
Morrow	169			10,469		10,469
Multnomah	40			2,204		2,204
Polk	198		40	9,482	828	10,310
Umatilla	653		136	39,457	4,095	43,552
Wasco	24			1,910		1,910
Washington	441		32	22,509	677	23,186
Yamhill	443		58	29,083	1,505	30,588
Totals	9,774		2,574	582,578	69,370	651,948

## 1967 Wheat Diversion Program

### General Provisions

The voluntary program for 1967-crop wheat provided loan and purchase price support on any farm within the 1967 allotment, plus domestic and export certificate payments to farmers who cooperated in all of the program provisions. The national wheat allotment was 68.2 million acres.

### Participation

To be a cooperator in the program and to qualify for certificates, a farmer signed up and: (1) stayed within the allotment; or (2) substituted wheat for feed grain and/or oats-rye; (3) maintained the farm normal conserving base; (4) stayed within other allotment crops on the farm; and (5) stayed within wheat allotment on any other farm on which he had an interest in the wheat crop.

### Price Support Loans

Loans at \$1.25 per bushel (U. S. average) were available on any farm staying within allotment. Those who sought only price support needed to notify their county ASCS office in writing so acreage measurements could be made prior to harvest.

### Marketing Certificates

Domestic wheat marketing certificates valued at \$1.36 per bushel were available on the smaller of, 35 percent of the farm allotment or the planted acres, times the farm normal yield.

Data for the wheat program by counties are shown on the following pages.

1967 Wheat Program

County	Wheat Farms		Allotment Acreage		Certif. Acreage	Certif. Payments
	All	Partic.	All	Partic.		
Baker	328	157	18,787	15,158	5,257	263,652
Benton	352	57	6,544	3,370	1,179	84,163
Clackamas	1,000	331	10,788	6,116	2,131	143,360
Columbia	47		214			
Crook	172	61	4,142	2,751	962	78,117
Deschutes	168	7	1,508	172	60	4,064
Douglas	104	6	860	134	47	2,439
Gilliam	207	203	108,235	107,285	37,550	1,551,208
Grant	61	21	2,065	1,409	421	15,973
Harney	82	25	2,870	1,098	374	11,023
Hood River	1		8			
Jackson	139	11	1,283	306	107	5,966
Jefferson	438	407	32,995	29,181	10,000	715,429
Josephine	7		36			
Klamath	440	169	12,780	9,820	3,315	201,721
Lake	192	90	19,269	15,539	5,435	220,917
Lane	526	46	6,457	1,792	603	40,720
Linn	1,056	55	10,221	1,346	471	28,391
Malheur	1,244	563	19,393	14,062	4,920	377,101
Marion	1,802	448	25,414	13,342	4,630	352,100
Morrow	291	244	143,624	141,898	49,664	1,938,417
Multnomah	54	11	536	304	106	6,985
Polk	871	301	17,611	11,671	4,081	309,365
Sherman	381	346	117,535	115,932	40,575	1,890,997
Umatilla	945	628	241,067	235,006	82,275	4,232,315
Union	659	407	53,010	50,013	17,482	1,061,367
Wallowa	370	186	29,056	23,124	8,093	445,144
Wasco	485	308	78,932	73,053	25,569	1,223,041
Washington	1,522	546	21,084	15,582	5,449	431,125
Wheeler	79	60	7,164	6,049	2,095	87,296
Yamhill	1,290	490	22,590	16,586	5,790	451,589
Totals	15,313	6,184	1,016,078	912,099	318,641	16,173,985

1967 Wheat Program

County	Planted Acreage	Substitution			
		Wheat For Barley	Wheat For Oats-Rye	Wheat For Feed Grain	Feed Grain For Wheat
Baker	11,237	682			
Benton	4,382	1,115	89	88	
Clackamas	6,502	1,615	185	168	15
Crook	2,993	619	151	3	
Deschutes	156				
Douglas	97				
Gilliam	121,288	16,713	13		
Grant	967				
Harney	1,260	488			
Jackson	189	10			
Jefferson	26,291				2,344
Klamath	7,403	206			
Lake	12,738	282			
Lane	1,836	330		2	2
Linn	1,373	155		9	
Malheur	11,500	1,081		155	46
Marion	15,212	2,224		447	
Morrow	164,589	24,210	158		
Multnomah	346	52			
Polk	15,777	3,764	980		
Sherman	126,208	12,666			
Umatilla	266,799	34,000	769	44	
Union	47,887	3,108	24		
Wallowa	20,422	949			
Wasco	78,708	9,063			
Washington	16,392	1,395	460	81	
Wheeler	5,277	320			
Yamhill	19,015	2,519	801	136	32
Totals	986,844	117,566	3,630	1,133	2,439



## 1967 Sugar Beet Program

ASCS county offices did not determine proportionate share acreage of sugar beets for 1967. Sugar companies contracted with individual farm operators for the production of a total acreage in accordance with plant capacity and the company marketing quota.

Conditional payments to Oregon producers were \$2.10 per ton of beets for the Umatilla area and \$2.17 per ton for the Malheur area.

Each producer was required to meet the following conditions to qualify for payment. He must have:

- 1 Had a contract with a sugar company.
- 2 Paid fair wages to field workers.
- 3 Complied with child labor laws.
- 4 Paid fair prices to other producers if he was also a processor.
- 5 Notified his ASCS county office of his intention to abandon any acreage of beets damaged by flood, drought, storm, freeze, disease or insects before the beets were destroyed in order to qualify for abandonment and deficiency payments and history credit.

Participation in the 1967 sugar beet program is shown on the following page.

Sugar Beet Program

	<u>Malheur</u>	<u>Umatilla</u>
Number of Applications	310	17
Number of Payees	508	43
Acres Planted	19,125	1,111
Acres Harvested	18,811	1,101
Tons of Beets Marketed	479,265	16,876
Total Cwt. Sugar Marketed	1,332,040	44,254
Bonafide Abandoned Acreage for Payment:		
Number of Farms	15	1
Number of Acres	314	9
One-Third of Normal Yield in Cwt.	6,159	160
Bonafide Abandoned Acreage - No Payment:		
Number of Farms	0	0
Number of Acres	0	0
Approved Deficiency of Production:		
Number of Farms	29	12
Deficiency of Production in Cwt.	5,225	11,216
Total Sugar for Payment in Cwt.	1,343,423	55,630
Net Payment	1,049,474	44,482

## 1967 Wool Incentive Program

Under the National Wool Act of 1954, as amended, wool is recognized as an essential and strategic commodity which is not produced in sufficient quantity in the United States. It is the declared policy of Congress as a measure of national security and in the promotion of the general economic welfare to encourage an annual domestic production of approximately 300 million pounds of shorn wool.

To encourage this increased production a support level of 66 cents per pound has been established. The net price received by wool producers throughout the nation for the wool marketing year of January 1 through the following December 31 is determined. A rate of incentive payment then is computed which is that percentage necessary to increase the national net price received by producers to the 66 cents per pound support level. Payments are made to wool growers by applying this percentage rate to the net price received for the shorn wool marketed by the individual producer during that marketing year. The national average net price received was 39.8 cents per pound. This resulted in a payment rate of 65.8 percent times the net price received by each wool producer.

Incentive payments are made to lamb producers to encourage them to market lambs unshorn, thus contributing to the increase in the production of wool. The unshorn lamb payment is based on 80 percent of the shorn wool payment on a ratio of five pounds of wool per 100 pounds of liveweight. Generally speaking, the amount of payment is then determined by the increase in weight of unshorn lambs during the ownership of the producer or feeder. This year's rate is \$1.05 cents per hundredweight.

Mohair production is encouraged in the same manner and a support level is established at the same parity level as for wool. The 1966 support level for mohair is 76.4 cents per pound. The national average net price received was 40.9 cents per pound. This requires a payment rate of 86.8 percent times the net price received by each mohair producer.

The following pages outline the activity of the program for 1967.

#

1967 Wool Payment Program

County	Number of Producers	Pounds of Wool Sold	Net Payments
Baker	121	188,981	\$ 51,616
Benton	113	79,769	18,038
Clackamas	386	176,977	38,461
Clatsop	9	5,870	1,305
Columbia	33	39,486	8,528
Coos	96	138,610	32,745
Crook	28	89,815	22,543
Curry	87	257,294	60,518
Deschutes	37	30,719	8,241
Douglas	435	588,307	148,231
Gilliam	10	6,685	1,652
Grant	39	69,287	16,611
Harney	32	190,788	40,263
Hood River	5	975	201
Jackson	37	24,307	6,315
Jefferson	10	21,022	4,316
Josephine	19	2,402	516
Klamath	128	236,207	66,389
Lake	36	57,083	14,233
Lane	172	166,579	39,165
Lincoln	38	16,801	3,381
Linn	288	314,693	70,875
Malheur	87	264,103	65,029
Marion	320	190,607	42,252
Morrow	26	149,347	41,652
Multnomah	36	7,503	1,603
Polk	229	141,923	31,489
Sherman	4	3,310	814
Tillamook	5	3,453	797
Umatilla	50	218,061	50,388
Union	69	36,659	10,398
Wallowa	118	256,072	69,673
Wasco	23	105,257	26,208
Washington	143	46,316	10,463
Wheeler	24	50,017	12,835
Yamhill	210	118,736	28,122
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3,503</b>	<b>4,294,021</b>	<b>1,045,866</b>

1967 Wool Payment Program

County	Number of Producers	Pounds of Unshorn Lambs Sold	Net Payments
Baker	125	1,557,524	15,149
Benton	104	497,914	4,855
Clackamas	294	993,818	9,136
Clatsop	6	14,724	144
Columbia	19	63,642	620
Coos	61	473,305	4,615
Crook	28	1,874,458	11,106
Curry	34	658,643	6,422
Deschutes	30	318,905	2,600
Douglas	408	4,044,932	39,395
Gilliam	13	121,930	1,178
Grant	33	335,231	3,268
Harney	21	789,704	7,700
Hood River	4	4,218	39
Jackson	29	145,645	1,398
Jefferson	12	100,768	974
Josephine	13	27,383	267
Klamath	115	2,286,053	18,072
Lake	35	488,686	4,765
Lane	170	1,332,020	12,839
Lincoln	45	148,196	1,445
Linn	289	2,460,793	16,154
Malheur	71	1,779,906	17,343
Marion	282	1,088,399	10,448
Morrow	31	1,311,238	12,623
Multnomah	22	35,661	346
Polk	225	1,027,089	9,109
Sherman	4	40,317	393
Tillamook	2	3,620	35
Umatilla	44	941,372	9,156
Union	79	274,880	2,676
Wallowa	136	3,338,340	22,549
Wasco	31	1,013,535	9,689
Washington	96	267,827	2,605
Wheeler	25	469,219	4,575
Yamhill	207	883,323	8,539
<b>Totals</b>	<b>3,143</b>	<b>31,213,218</b>	<b>272,227</b>

1967 Wool Payment Program

County	Number of Producers	Pounds of Mohair Sold	Net Payments
Benton	1	14	4
Clackamas	7	949	264
Coos	3	1,017	337
Curry	5	5,000	1,595
Douglas	29	17,767	5,630
Josephine	1	103	28
Lane	7	785	191
Linn	14	1,106	319
Marion	11	1,168	473
Polk	13	588	167
Washington	1	80	23
Yamhill	9	825	229
Totals	101	29,402	9,260

### Emergency Livestock Feed Program

The Livestock Feed Program is one of several emergency assistance programs provided through the USDA. It can be authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture in designated disaster areas where the livestock feed resource has been seriously damaged. The program authorizes sale of CCC-owned feed grains at reduced prices to eligible livestock producers. Its purpose is to help prevent liquidation or excessive culling of foundation herds.

The livestock industry in central and eastern Oregon is a cow-calf operation. The basic source of feed is forage - range and irrigated pasture supplemented by home-grown hay during the winter months. Grain is not normally fed in this area.

The extensive floods of the 1964-65 winter severely reduced the production potential of pastures and lower elevation rangeland. This situation was followed by a cold spring and drought conditions during the summer and fall of 1966. With severely reduced forage and hay production, livestock men were forced to drastically reduce livestock numbers to match the short feed supply available for the winter months ahead. This heavier than normal culling resulted in a reduction in foundation herd numbers.

To prevent further reduction of foundation herds, grain was made available to livestock men in seven counties as shown on the following page.

Livestock Feed Program

County	Number of Applicants	Barley (Bu.)	Corn (Bu.)	Grain Sorghum (Cwt.)	Oats (Bu.)
Baker	30		1,568	6,632	15,314
Crook	9	5,407			1,570
Grant	100			25,976	29,795
Harney	114		5,646	38,495	19,912
Malheur	64			33,528	
Union	24				16,443
Wallowa	101	8,895		3,220	18,542
Totals	442	14,302	7,214	107,851	101,576

Compliance

Conservation Reserve and Cropland Adjustment Programs

County	Farm Visits	Certification
Baker	2	11
Benton	8	37
Clackamas	16	20
Columbia	4	8
Coos		1
Douglas		11
Gilliam	1	7
Grant	1	
Harney	2	9
Jackson	1	5
Jefferson	5	6
Josephine	1	
Klamath	2	7
Lake	2	17
Lane	10	37
Linn	17	21
Malheur	5	3
Marion	5	32
Morrow	15	
Multnomah	1	7
Polk	8	19
Sherman	4	3
Umatilla	14	13
Union	3	7
Wallowa	8	15
Wasco	3	33
Washington	12	16
Wheeler	5	3
Yamhill	19	41
Totals	174	389

Compliance

Wheat and Feed Grain Programs

County	Farm Visits *	Certification	Measurement Service
Baker	27	159	4
Benton	31	55	8
Clackamas	63	392	95
Columbia	1	2	
Crook	13	62	6
Deschutes	3	9	
Douglas	8	15	
Gilliam	32	204	10
Grant	4	21	2
Harney	8	30	
Jackson	8	15	
Jefferson	56	299	
Josephine	2	3	
Klamath	20	169	18
Lake	19	96	1
Lane	38	57	2
Linn	37	78	7
Malheur	122	621	61
Marion	79	509	21
Morrow	50	250	10
Multnomah	5	13	1
Polk	70	309	43
Sherman	55	345	
Umatilla	193	678	118
Union	108	394	18
Wallowa	31	206	
Wasco	71	317	11
Washington	122	570	79
Wheeler	14	62	
Yamhill	122	532	394
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1,412</b>	<b>6,472</b>	<b>909</b>

\* Includes visits for administrative control.



